

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,884	06/18/2001	Scott A. Hankins	011.0214.01	1724
22895	7590 01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
PATRICK J S INOUYE P S			LE, VIET Q	
810 3RD AV SUITE 258	ENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE,	WA 98104		2667	
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0
	09/884,884	HANKINS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Viet Q. Le	2667	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communications. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The section is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The section is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the section is in condition.	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	• •	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and comparison. Application Papers	rawn from consideration.		
<u> </u>	nor		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the centilea copies not	receivea.	
		•	
Attachment(s)	. –		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/884,884 Page 2

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the title of the application shall not appear on the page. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no system 40 of figure 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 10-20, 22-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tod W. McNamara (U.S. 6,262,976), hereinafter referred to as McNamara.

Art Unit: 2667

Regarding claims 1 and 13, McNamara disclosed a system comprising: an analysis module analyzing a plurality of packets, each such packet comprising a source address of an originating host and a destination address of a receiving host (McNamara describes a method of classifying traffic packets into different classes by examining ad analyzing source and destination address of the packets. In order for McNamara examining and analyzing the packets, McNamara system must have the capability of a packet analyzer module. See Fig. 6, block 50; See also column 12, lines 19-21); and a classification module classifying an unknown originating host located at the source address of an outbound packet as an inside host with high confidence, classifying an unknown receiving host located at the destination address of an inbound packet as an inside host, and reclassifying the unknown receiving host as an inside host with high confidence upon receiving a further outbound packet having a source address corresponding to the address of the unknown receiving host (McNamara describes his method classifying traffic packets into 4 different classes of: interior traffic, transitory traffic, interior to exterior traffic (source traffic) and exterior traffic to interior traffic (destination traffic) by looking into the headers of the packets like the source and the destination addresses to determine the traffic flow and inherently from these same information, one can determine if a host is residing inside or outside a network or a virtual sub-network. See Fig. 4; See also column 7, lines 5-14).

Regarding claims 2, 14, 27 and 34, McNamara disclosed a system, further comprising: the classification module further classifying an unknown originating host located at the source address of an inbound packet as an outside host (McNamara

Application/Control Number: 09/884,884

Art Unit: 2667

describes his method classifying traffic packets into 4 different classes of: interior traffic, transitory traffic, interior to exterior traffic (source traffic) and exterior traffic to interior traffic (destination traffic) by looking into the headers of the packets like the source and the destination addresses to determine the traffic flow and inherently from these same information like the source address and the traffic flow, one can determine if a host is an outside host. See Fig. 4; See also column 7, lines 5-14).

Regarding claims 3 and 15, McNamara disclosed a system further comprising: the classification module reclassifying the unknown originating host as an inside host with high confidence upon receiving an outbound packet having a source address corresponding to the address of the unknown originating host (McNamara describes his method classifying traffic packets into 4 different classes of: interior traffic, transitory traffic, interior to exterior traffic (source traffic) and exterior traffic to interior traffic (destination traffic) by looking into the headers of the packets like the source and the destination addresses to determine the traffic flow and inherently from these same information like the source address and the traffic flow, one can determine if a host is an inside host. See Fig. 4; See also column 7, lines 5-14).

Regarding claims 4, 16, 29 and 36, McNamara disclosed a system further comprising: the classification module further classifying an unknown receiving host located at the destination address of an outbound packet as an outside host (McNamara describes his method classifying traffic packets into 4 different classes of: interior traffic, transitory traffic, interior to exterior traffic (source traffic) and exterior traffic to interior traffic (destination traffic) by looking into the headers of the packets like

Art Unit: 2667

the source and the destination addresses to determine the traffic flow and inherently from these same information like the destination address and the traffic flow, one can determine if a host is an outside host. See Fig. 4; See also column 7, lines 5-14).

Regarding claims 5 and 17, McNamara disclosed a system further comprising: the classification module reclassifying the unknown receiving host as an inside host with high confidence upon receiving an inbound packet having a destination address corresponding to the address of the unknown receiving host (McNamara describes his method classifying traffic packets into 4 different classes of: interior traffic, transitory traffic, interior to exterior traffic (source traffic) and exterior traffic to interior traffic (destination traffic) by looking into the headers of the packets like the source and the destination addresses to determine the traffic flow and inherently from these same information like the destination address and the traffic flow, one can determine if a host is an inside host. See Fig. 4; See also column 7, lines 5-14).

Regarding claims 6 and 18, McNamara disclosed a system further comprising: the classification module maintaining the inside host with high confidence classification of the unknown originating host upon receiving at least one of further inbound packets and further outbound packets (McNamara describes his method classifying traffic packets into 4 different classes of: interior traffic, transitory traffic, interior to exterior traffic (source traffic) and exterior traffic to interior traffic (destination traffic) by looking into the headers of the packets like the source and the destination addresses to determine the traffic flow and inherently from these same information like the source

Application/Control Number: 09/884,884

Art Unit: 2667

address, destination address and the traffic flow, one can determine if a host is an inside host. See Fig. 4; See also column 7, lines 5-14).

Regarding claims 7 and 19, McNamara disclosed a system further comprising: the classification module maintaining the inside host with high confidence classification of the unknown receiving host upon receiving at least one of further inbound packets and further outbound packets (McNamara describes his method classifying traffic packets into 4 different classes of: interior traffic, transitory traffic, interior to exterior traffic (source traffic) and exterior traffic to interior traffic (destination traffic) by looking into the headers of the packets like the source and the destination addresses to determine the traffic flow and inherently from these same information like the source address, destination address and the traffic flow, one can determine if a host is an inside host. See Fig. 4; See also column 7, lines 5-14).

Regarding claims 8 and 20, McNamara describes a system further comprising: the classification module managing packet traffic flow by monitoring the packets (See column 36, lines 42-44) and adjusting control flow thereof (See column 11, lines 24-27).

Regarding claims 10 and 22, McNamara disclosed a system, wherein the packets are communicated via a point-to-point protocol (See figure 1; See also column 1, lines 39-48).

Regarding claims 11 and 23, McNamara disclosed a system, wherein the packets are communicated via an end-to-end protocol (See figure 1; See also column 1, lines 39-48).

Application/Control Number: 09/884,884

Art Unit: 2667

Regarding claims 12, 24, 32 and 39, McNamara disclosed a system, wherein the packets are communicated via the TCP/IP protocol and each source address and destination address is an internet protocol (IP) address (See figure 1A; See also column 1, lines 63-67; See also column 2, lines 1-8).

Regarding claims 25 and 40, McNamara disclosed a computer-readable storage medium holding code for performing the method (See column 20, lines 5-7).

Regarding claims 26 and 33, McNamara disclosed a system a system for classifying hosts in a heterogeneous computing environment, comprising: a table storing records comprising of states which each specify a location of a host (McNamara disclose storage or memory where network topology information was kept including the traffic packet classes. See column 18, lines 51-54); a traffic manager classifying the hosts based on source address and destination address (McNamara describes his method classifying traffic packets into 4 different classes of: interior traffic, transitory traffic, interior to exterior traffic (source traffic) and exterior traffic to interior traffic (destination traffic) by looking into the headers of the packets like the source and the destination addresses to determine the traffic flow and inherently from these same information like the source address, destination address and the traffic flow, one can determine if a host is an inside host. See Fig. 4; See also column 7, lines 5-14).

Regarding claims 28, 30, 35 and 37, McNamara disclosed a system where traffic manager or network routers are used to pass through traffic based on the source address and destination address in combination with what are stored in the memory about the traffic packet classes (McNamara describes his method classifying traffic by

Art Unit: 2667

looking into the headers of the packets like the source and the destination addresses to determine the traffic flow and inherently from these same information like the source address, destination address and the traffic flow, one can determine if a host is an inside host. See Fig. 4; See also column 7, lines 5-14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 9, 21, 31 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McNamara in view of the background art of the applicants' own application 09/884,884, hereinafter referred to as Hankins.

Regarding claims 9, 21, 31 and 38, McNamara describes his method of classifying traffic packets into 4 different classes of: interior traffic, transitory traffic, interior to exterior traffic (source traffic) and exterior traffic to interior traffic (destination traffic) by looking into the headers of the packets like the source and the destination addresses to determine the traffic flow and inherently from these same information like the source address and the traffic flow, one can determine if a host is an outside or an inside host. See Fig. 4; See also column 7, lines 5-14).

McNamara, however, fails to teach the capability of ignoring a certain traffic class of packets to avoid the double count problem encountered at the router or at the traffic manager.

Hankins disclosed in the background art of his own application that double counting the packet is a problem and need to be avoided at the traffic manager or the router (See page 2, paragraph 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to ignore packet traffic flow for each packet with an originating host or a receiving host classified as an inside host with high confidence, the motivation being that by ignoring traffic packets coming from the inside host will avoid the problem of being double counted by the traffic manager or the router.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Gregory Rakoshitz et al. (U.S. 6,578,077), Traffic monitoring tool for bandwidth management.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Q. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2246. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM -5 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,884 Page 10

Art Unit: 2667

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

νl

RICKY NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER